



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viggnia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/029,158	12/21/2001	Nam P. Suh	A-69175-1/MSS	5210
75	90 08/28/2003			
FLEHR HOHBACH TEST ALBRITTON & HERBERT LLP Suite 3400 Four Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4187			EXAMINER	
			RACHUBA, MAURINA T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2003	8

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	S					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_			
	10/029,158	SUH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	M Rachuba	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a real of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated the period period for reply will, by stated the period for reply will. Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frotute, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und						
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applicat	ion					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rawn from consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.		·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	t/or election requirement					
Application Papers	aror orodion roquiromoni.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 15 April 2002 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	·				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Applica	ation No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a l 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome 	• •					
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/029,158

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1 and 10, line 9, the limitations "a retaining ring bellows operatively connecting said retaining ring bearing to urge said retaining ring against a polishing member;" appears to be incomplete. What does the bellows connect the ring bearing to? Claims 1 and 10, line 14, "the chamber" lacks antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 9-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Jackson, '549, figure 3 and its description.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Shendon et al, '630, figure 1 and its description.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,158 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 3, 7, 8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jackson, '549. Jackson does not disclose that the ring bearing is a hydrostatic bearing, or that number or size of flanges/chambers. The examiner takes Official notice that the use of hydrostatic bearings is old and well known in the art of workholding, and that one of ordinary skill would consider the use of a hydrostatic bearing to be a matter of design choice, barring any criticality. As regards the number and size of the flanges/chambers, It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have provided '549 with the number and sizes of the chambers as claimed, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the overall size of a component. A

Application/Control Number: 10/029,158

Art Unit: 3723

change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other wafer holders which use bellows are cited of interest.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning the content of this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Rachuba whose telephone number is (703) 308-1361. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 4:00 PM. Any inquiries concerning other than the content of this and previous communications, such as missing references or filed papers not acknowledged, should be directed to the Customer Service Representative, Tech Center 3700, (703) 306-5648.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail, can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9302.

In lieu of mailing, it is encouraged that all formal responses be faxed to 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

M. RACHUBA PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 3723

X

mtr August 20, 2003